



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/081,969	02/22/2002	Cheng Cheng	4-31704A/GTI	4496		
75	90 01/17/2006	EXAMINER				
DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY			MARVICH	MARVICH, MARIA		
CARY U.S. LL	P					
1200 NINETEENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2412			1633			
			DATEMAN ED ALUEDOOC			

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/081,969	CHENG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication cane	are on the cover shoot with the	serrespondence add	~~

7.47.50.77.10.10.1	10/001,000	OTIENO ET AE					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	nd the corresponding amount of the fee.	The appropriate extension	n fee under 37				
FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex 							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be							
AMENDMENTS 3. Note: The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered t	pecause				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).		·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13,14,16-45,47-51,58,59 and 63</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE R	it before or on the date of filing a N	lation of A will -	at ha antaus d				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41:33(d)(ls to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu the amendment is moot in view of the non entry of the c		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:							
							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims if amended as proposed in the amendment filed 12/22/2005, raise issues of new matter and new consideration. The instant invention is drawn to a recombinant oncolytic adenoviral vector. Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the recombinant oncolytic adenoviral vector comprises additionally a packaging signal after the left ITR and that the termination signal sequence is "not associated with an inserted transgene" and is 5' to the E1A gene. Previously, the method was not so limited by requiring these elements. By changing the scope of the claims, new issues requiring further consideration and a prior art search are raised. Furthermore, applicants have not indicated where support for the amendments that the termination singal is "not associated with an inserted transgene" is found throughout the specification. The examiner cannot find support for this limitation. Hence this limitation may consistute new matter.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER